

rw



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/328,183	06/08/1999	OVERTON L. PARISH IV	27889-00037	6471

23932 7590 06/18/2003

JENKENS & GILCHRIST, PC
1445 ROSS AVENUE
SUITE 3200
DALLAS, TX 75202

EXAMINER

ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3743

33

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

✓

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/328,183

Applicant(s)
Parish et al.

Examiner
Atkinson

Art Unit
3743



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Atkinson

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Michael Maddox

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jun 16, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant proposes to remove the previously added "first and second seal" language. This will overcome the outstanding objection and rejection given in the Final Rejection mailed on 6/3/2003. Applicant also proposes to add claim language directed toward the end caps having a cavity therein. This language, when shown to be supported by the originally filed specification, appears to overcome the outstanding art rejection. However, the proposed claim language would require the Examiner to at least consider/search all of the cited art in the instant case for the teaching of the newly proposed claim language.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.